IU Health Laboratory Services and Lab Automation's Next Generation

Jessica Isaac Executive Director, Pathology Laboratory May 1, 2024



Indiana University Health

Indiana University Health

- Indiana's largest and most comprehensive health system
 - 15 hospitals offering the full spectrum of healthcare services for adults and children
 - More than 300 primary care, specialty care offices and allied services

One of Indiana's largest employers

■ 38,000+ team members

Indiana University School of Medicine

Unique partnership provides access to leading-edge research and treatments



IU Health: A national leader in healthcare





IU Health Pathology Laboratory Services

Network of laboratories

- Centralized state-of-the-art facility in downtown Indianapolis, IN
- Regional laboratories
 - Hub and regional hospitals
 (5)
 - Critical access hospitals (10)



24+ million billed tests per year

Extensive outreach service as a regional reference lab

Specialized team

- 1,100+ team members
- 40+ outpatient service centers
- 100+ medical directors, pathologists and faculty



Hub Geography

IU Health Arnett West Lafayette, IN

IU Health Ball Memorial Muncie, IN

IU Health West Avon, IN

IU Health Pathology Lab (Reference Lab) Indianapolis, IN





Why Choose Next-Gen Automation? -Today's Problems Need Today's Solutions!

Business is Changing

- High hospital patient census
- Test volume increasing (internal and external)

Financial Pressures

- Annual challenge to reduce spend
- Lower cost per test
- Staffing Challenges
 - Base salary and starting wage increases
 - Wage compression
 - Workforce shortages





State of the Laboratory Team

Aging and Strained Team

- 41 years average age, 8% are age 65+
- Averaging 32.5 FTEs of overtime per pay period system wide.

Job Openings and Opportunities

- 9.38% vacancy
- 41 days to fill (avg)
- 125 open reqs, with 14 reqs >120 days





January 2023 - March 2024

What Solutions Can a Lab Consider?

Manage Demand (Volume)

- Reduced staff
 - Coverage issues
 - Errors and omissions
 - Stat workflow process
- Simplify the menu
 - More send-out or POC testing
 - Higher cost
 - Slower TAT
 - Quality risk
- Increase TAT expectations
 - Customer experience suffers



Improve "Supply" (Capability)

- Shorten TAT
 - High throughput / high capacity
 - Dedicated stat workflow
- Reduce errors and rework
 - 60% hours in pre-analytical
 - 75% errors
- Enhance staff productivity
 - Reduce steps
 - Lean, efficient workflow
- Team engagement & collaboration
 - Workflow/force versatility

Revenue risk (less controllable) versus Expense risk (controllable)

Lab Automation Solutions Support the "Supply" Alternative

Top 3 Reasons Labs Seek Automation¹

- Improve STAT turn-around times
 - DxA gives STAT tubes continuous priority
 - 4-minute centrifugation
- Reduce errors & standardize process



1. Read the barcode label 6. Measure the fill level 2. Measure sample tube size 7. Measure sample volume 3. Identify container type 4. Identify container cap type 5. Identify container cap color

- 8. Calculate sample weight 9. Conducts pre-spun status check³
- Improve productivity
 - Handle more specimens with less
 - or the same FTE



A Comprehensive Solution to Achieve Significant Improvement

Automation integrates multiple diagnostic specialties to one single track to improve efficiency, organization, standardization, quality and safety of laboratory testing²

More than

90%

of workflow steps occur pre- / post-analysis³

About

75%

of result errors trace to preanalytical mistakes³



Beckman Coulter DxA 5000 Fit Automates 70% of Manual Workflow



² Lippi, Giuseppe and Da Rin, Giorgio. "Advantages and limitations of total laboratory automation: a personal overview" Clinical Chemistry and Laboratory Medicine (CCLM), vol. 57, no. 6, 2019 ³ A Review of Medical Errors in Laboratory Diagnostics and Where We Are Today. https://academic.oup.com/labmed/article/43/2/41/2505001

Public

Building the Business Case





Next Generation Automation Candidates at IU Health

IU Health Arnett Hospital

- 130 Patient Census
- 850,000 Billable Tests
- 40.09 FTEs

IU Health Ball Memorial Hospital

- 230 Patient Census
- 860,000 Billable Tests
- 49.96 FTEs

IU Health West Hospital

- 175 Patient Census
- 470,000 Billable Tests
- 25.82 FTEs





Region Labs Compared to Central Core Automated Laboratory

KPIs	Central Core	Arnett	Ball	West
Test Volume	3.8M	0.9M	0.9M	0.5M
FTE	79.51	40.09	49.96	25.82
Productivity	0.04	0.10	0.12	0.11

Could a midsize lab's productivity approach that of the core laboratory?

Initial Investment Analysis Example

IU Health Arnett Hospital

Current	Me	d Tech	Pro	ocessors	Total
FTE		9.4		5.0	14.4
Rate	\$	37	\$	29	
Total (\$000)	\$	726	\$	306	\$ 1,032

Future State	Auto	mation		
FTE		7.0	4.5	11.5
Rate	\$	41	\$ 33	
Total (\$000)	\$	597	\$ 304	\$ 901

Annual Savi	ngs			\bigcap
FTE		(2.4)	(0.5)	(2.9)
Rate	\$	41 \$	33	
Save (\$000)		(\$205)	(\$34)	(\$238)

Automation Investment (\$	\$700	
Investment Multiplier	2	\$1,400

Payback Period (Years)



IU Health Ball Memorial Hospital

Current	Me	d Tech	Pr	ocessors	Total
FTE		12.8		9.8	22.6
Rate	\$	37	\$	29	
Total (\$000)	\$	988	\$	600	\$ 1,588

 Future State Automation

 FTE
 7.0
 5.5
 12.5

 Rate
 \$
 41
 \$
 33

 Total (\$000)
 \$
 597
 \$
 372
 \$
 969

Annual Savir	ngs			\bigcap
FTE		(5.8)	(4.3)	((10.1))
Rate	\$	41 \$	33	\smile
Save (\$000)		(\$494)	(\$291)	(\$785)

Automation Investment (\$	\$1,400	
Investment Multiplier	2	\$2,800

Payback Period (Years)

Public

Other Factors to Consider: Assign a Financial Benefit Where Possible



What is the "right thing to do" to do for your health system or laboratory?

A Case Study: IU Health Ball and Beckman Coulter Core Lab Automation

- October 2022: IU Health Ball Memorial Hospital is
 first IU Health laboratory to install next generation automation
 - Processing
 - IA
 - Chemistry
 - Hematology
 - Coagulation
 - Storage

- Installation
 - October 2022-Dec 2022
 - Existing equipment was factored into the design and moved prior to installation
- Go-Live
 - December 2022
 - Very little work required to launch
 - Platelet poor plasma validation
 - IS testing



A Case Study: IU Health Ball and Beckman Coulter Core Lab Automation





A Case Study: IU Health Ball and Beckman Coulter Core Lab Automation

KPIs	2022	2024	Δ
FTE	49.96	41.94	<mark>↓8.02 (16%)</mark>
Testing Volume	760,000	860,000	<mark>↑100,000 (13%)</mark>
ED TAT (target 92%)	90.5%	94.3%	<mark>†3.8%</mark>
Productivity	0.12	0.02	<mark>↓0.10</mark>
Team Engagement	2.96 (Gallup)	3.40	<mark>↑0.44</mark>





Case Study 2: IU Health Methodist Lab

- New, on-site automated laboratory
 - Processing
 - IA/Chemistry
 - Hematology/Coagulation
 - Storage
- Testing was previously performed at off-site laboratory with older generation of automation
 - ED testing was performed in Acute Care STAT lab within ED space.

Public

- Largest hospital in IU Health, with greatest volume
- Required recruitment of many new laboratory scientists and support staff





Case Study 2: IU Health Methodist Lab-Launched June 26, 2023

ED STAT Testing	ED Lab Performance Jan 2023-June 2023	New Lab, Post-automation July 2023-Dec 2023	Post-Automation Jan 2024-April 2024
Count Missed TAT Goal*	7166	5760	1506
% Goal* Met *goal=92% in 60min	89.29%	91.84%	96.69%

COUNT BY DAY





Learnings

- Every lab is different, so results will vary!
- ADKAR, ADKAR, ADKAR!
- Be adaptable.
- Rely on your vendor where it makes sense.
- Have fun along the way!





Thank you!

Discussion



Indiana University Health